

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/530,760	04/08/2005	Cathy Bureau	016906-0388	6942	
	22428	7590 12/12/2006	EXAMINER			
	FOLEY ANI SUITE 500	D LARDNER LLP		ALI, MOHAMMAD M		
	3000 K STRE	ET NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20007	•	3744		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					M			
		Application No.	,	Applicant(s)	7. 2			
		10/530,760		BUREAU ET AL.				
Office A	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mohammad M.	Ali	3744				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from 1	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DNGER, FROM THE MAILING De e available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. om the mailing date of this communication. pecified above, the maximum statutory period set or extended period for reply will, by statut Office later than three months after the mailing timent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO. .136(a). In no event, how d will apply and will expire te, cause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. sely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	o communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> /	April 2005.						
2a) This action is		is action is non-fir	ıal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers		*						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References (2) Notice of Draftsperson 3) Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/530,760

Art Unit: 3744

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract is not in a separate sheet. Proper correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Bureau et al., (DE 10156944 A1). Bureau et al., disclose a method for controlling evaporation temperature in an air conditioning system, in particular a vehicle air conditioning system, having a latent refrigerant accumulator which can be cooled by an evaporator, with the evaporation temperature of a refrigerant evaporator being set as a function of demand to a value between a minimum temperature and maximum temperature which

Application/Control Number: 10/530,760 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

is below a phase change temperature of the latent medium (as disclose by the applicant in specification page 1, last Para and second page first Para). Bureau et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except water. The air in place of water, the physical construction and the cooling procedure obviously meet the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Md. Mchairfle' MOHAMMAD M. ALI PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/530,760

Art Unit: 3744

Page 4